Previous references.

1894.
~~191~~
(Sep. Rev.)

File No. 70 of 94.
Serial Nos. 1 — 22.

Later references.

136

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 22

Major
~~Part~~ B. for November 1896.

SUBJECT

Classification of Ganja shops with reference to income.

---

1. From. The Excise Commr No 2288/233 dt - 10.11.94.
Dy No 5943/R1670. Forwards communication from the Dy Commr, Kadapa District, and states that he had informed the Dy Commr, that the ganja shop at Bobbili should be retained as 4th Class shop.

2. To. The Excise Commr No 8273/R1212 dt - 21/23. Nov 94.
Approves the action taken by him.

3. From. The Excise Commr No 2691/262 dt - 6/7 Dec 1894.
Dy No 7410/R2057. Forwards copies of communication and note of enclosures from the D.C. Kurnool with reports upon the classification of ganja shops in his District.

4. To. The Excise Commr No 14381/R.J.70-94 dt - 9/12. B. 95.
Requests that the arrangements made may stand

G. R. S. 58
GPB—4,000—17-11

Subsequent instructions issued by Govt should be carried out.

Recm. 3. Hanumanthappa and Mohamed Rahman. Licensees holders of Ganja Shop No 122, Bangalore city.

Pet Regd No 2319 dt - 7.2.95

Submits petition praying that orders may be issued to excuse them of the enhanced rate of license fees during the year and to recover the same from them from the date of closing of the second shop.

6. To. The Excise Commr No Offl memo No 15650/R.D.70-96 dt - 22.3.95.

Forwards the above petition No 2319 for early report.

7. From. The Excise Commr. No 4235/404 dt - 12/13 - 4.95.

Dy no 13631/13698

Reports on the petitions of Hanumanthappa and Rehamed Rahman drug shop keepers city Bangalore.

8. To. The Excise Commr. No 15463/R.D.70-94. dt - 3.5.95.

Calls for certain information re the disposal of the complaint.

9. From. The Excise Commr No 5706/466 dt - 27/29.5.95.

Dy no 16360/14531 - Submits copy of Communication from the Dy Comm Bangalore District and recommends that certain Ganja shops may be brought under 2nd Class.

10. To. The Excise Commr. No 23344 dt - 15.6.95.

Makes certain remarks on the Classification of the shops referred to above, towards the close of the year

11. From The Excise Commr No 479/45 dt - 25.7.95.

Dy no 811/951. Submits Statement showing the average monthly profits to the Ganja Shops keepers in the Bangalore city for six months ending 30th June 1895. and the amount of license fees to be remitted in their favor.

12 From The Excise Commr No 2674/253 dt - 19.12.95.

Dy no 4880/E 268. Submits Statement showing the particulars of Consumption of Ganja and Opium and reports how the present system of classification of the shops has been working and recommends the introduction of the auctions

SECTION S. R.

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

FILE No. 70 of 94

SERIAL No. 1

1894 S-943 4

R 1670

From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Submitted for orders

Where is the list we got from the Dy. Comrs. of Kadur & Hassan to which reference is made in it?

Say the shops may be opened. The order of Govt. in no way curtailed the powers of Dy. Comrs. opening new shops at places where none now existed but which on enquiry were found necessary to meet bonâ fide requirements of Ganja drugs in lieu of the contraband article suspected or actually utilized by the public as such places. Para 6 & 13.6 under which may thereupon be taken as applicable in each case.

C. S.

S. R.

15/11

Dated 10th
Despatched
Received
} November 1894

Enclosures No. one

General No. 2288

No. 237

× In S. R. 3407 92-93

In reply to Government Proceedings No. × 4489-98 dated 9-9-94 in re classification of Ganja shops. R.F. 176-92

SUBJECT.

Forwards copy of communication from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur district, and states that he has informed the Deputy Commissioner that the drug shop at Ajjampur should be retained as 4th class shop

Rd 12/11

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

15/11

Excise Commissioner's office,

No. 2288 (Exc. I) / 233 Bangalore, dated 10th November 1894.

(4)

From.

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

Government Proceedings No.

4489-98 / R.F. 176-92, dated 9th September 1894.

Adverting to the above, I have the honor to forward, herewith, copy of docket No. 170, dated 6/8 instant, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, and to state that I have informed the Deputy Commissioner that the drug shop at Ajjampur should be retained as a 4th class shop.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
Mitchell
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

1894

Enclosures to S.C.1

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 6th/8th November 1894.

No. 170

Excise Commissioner's No. 1717-24/79

dated 12th/16th October 1894

In reply to the above has the honor to state that prior to the receipt of the Government Proceedings referred to in the above, it was meditated to open a drug shop at Kadur as it is a Taluk Kusbah, and there are many consumers of the drug both in the town and its vicinity, and subsequently after the receipt of the Government orders a shop at Kadur has been ordered to be opened for the Sale of the Ganja and Opium.

2. With reference to the closing of superfluous shops named in para

7

7 of the said Proceedings, the one at Chikmagalur has been closed since December 1893.

3. Of all the drug shops in the District only the one at Ajjehalli held no license for the sale of Opium, but orders have since been issued authorizing the sale of the same there.

4. Further to state that a drug shop was opened at Ajjampur last year, and the Government Proceedings under reference mentions nothing about it. Under the present classification it would fall under the head of 4th class shop, and the undersigned would like to know whether this shop is to be closed or retained.

/Signed/ R. B. Plumer
For Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.

"Copy"

Sheketts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

SECTION S.R
FILE No. 70 of 94.
SERIAL No. 2

(5)

The Excise Comr
in Mysore

Dy 21/23 dd 6/9/94
No 8273/R 1212 Re:

His letter No 2288/233 Dy 10th
Sept/94 reporting with
reference to Govt Proc No 6489-98
dated 9th Sept/94 in re-classi-
-fication of Ganja shops, that
he has permitted the drug
shop at Ajjampur to be
retained as a 4th class shop.

Re. S.R 34 of 92-93.

In reply, has the honor
to state that Govt approve
of the Excise Comrs action
in the order of Govt dated
9th Sept last in no way
curtailed the powers of
Dy Comrs of opening new
shops at places where none
now existed, but which on

* Ajjampur will be added to the list of Places in Mysore for Native Drunk Shops in Schedule B attached to the Govt

6

Enquiry were found necess-
-ary to meet bonâ-fide
Consumption of excised
drugs in lieu of the Contra
-band article suspected or
actually utilized by the
public in such places. —
Para 6 of the order under
advertence may therefore
be taken as applicable
to such cases.

Proceedings
dereci 'd
180
Sttr
23/11/94

B.O.

Chairman

J. Lind
S. A.
17/11/94

SECTION S.R

FILE No. 70 of 94

SER'AL No. 3

Gen. Serial No. 30

6 7

1894. 7410

R2057

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Submitted for orders

12/12 [illegible]

Dated 6th December 1894.

Despatched 7th

Received

Enclosures No. 2

General No. 2691

No. 262

With reference to Government
~~In reply to~~ Proceedings No. 4489-98
R.F. 176-92,
SUBJECT.
dated 4th September 1894.

I forwards copies of communication and of its enclosure from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, reporting upon the classification of Ganja shops in his District.

Extract 7/12 12/12

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

12/12

The classification rules have been brought in force for 92/93 for the whole Province & it is therefore undesirable to make an exception in the case of Nanjangud the sales which appear to have fallen from Rs 62 in 92/93 to 38 in 93/94 and no explanation has therefore been rendered. It is therefore to be classed in common with other shops as exhibited in the Proc: order of Govt under report subject to revision at the close of the year as directed in para 5 thereof.

2- It was not clear why the shop with the least sales was included in the case of the nos 3, 4 & 5 instead of that of No 2 Puttanna whose sales are 99 annually & who pays the same as the former shops.

3- It should be explained why a 2nd shop has been opened in the Yelandur Taluq & whether it was not preferable to remove that to Yelandur.

4- It should be borne in mind that Ganja shops should be given as few facilities as possible & only where absolutely necessary. Every shop is likely to attract custom & it was not the intention of Govt that the number of shops should be increased. Before any new shops are opened in Govt villages the Collector should be consulted & his opinion recorded.

3.6 P.J.6

Issued 12/1/95 C.S. 12/1

It should be borne in
mind in the case of all
Foreign Loans generally &
especially when claims for
Exp. Dam: may likely arise
that it will be preferable to
open Negs in intriguing Govt
Privileges than to compel
Govt Egypte &c &c to the
Foreign Negs to set their
hostilities & thus embarrass
the [redacted] purposes of such
private parties at the
expense of Govt —

B. 6

C. by

[illegible]
V. P.
12/1/95

Excise Commissioner's Office 9
Bangalore, 6th December 1894.

No. 2691 (Exc 2)
2624

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To.

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 4489-98 / A.F. 176-92, dated 9th September 1894, I have the honor to forward herewith, copies of letter No. 949, dated 5th instant, and its enclosures from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, reporting upon the classification of the Ganja Shops in his District

2. One months notice given by the Deputy Commissioner being insufficient for closing the superfluous shops, he has been requested to intimate the licensees of such shops that their shops will be closed from 1st July 1895.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

J Ricketts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

JR

Enclosure to S.L.3 10

Deputy Commissioner's Office

No. 949 Mysore District

Dated Mysore 5th December 1894

From

A Rungaisamy Iyengar Esqr.

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

To

The Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 4489-98 / R.F. 176-92, dated 9th September 1894 regarding the classification of Ganja Shops, I have the honor to report as follows:-

The total number of Ganja Shops now in existence in the Mysore Town and District is in all 27, ie 7 in the Mysore Town, and 20 in the mofussil. Four will be cancelled, 5 will be classified as follows:- the remainder being

(6?) 9.B

4

4th class paying no fees.

Mysore Town 4 1st Class shops at Rs 10/- } Rs 40

Ganja 1 3rd class at Rs 2 — 2

42

In list A attached to the above Proceedings the Shop at Kanjangode has been classified as a 3rd class shop, but according to the Statistics of Sales during the year 1892-93 and 1893-94, the income derived therefrom amounts on an average to Rs 7-4-0 and it has therefore been treated as a 4th class shop.

With reference to para 8 of the above Proceedings I beg to state that there is a Ganja shop at present at Hedatore, that Vendor has agreed to sell Opium also, and a license will be issued in due course Similarly the Opium Shop keeper at Chamrajnagar has accepted to sell Ganja also in addition and a license will be issued shortly.

There is at present a Shop selling

Para 8

2 Shops

selling both the drugs at Agara (not Iramballi), in the Ayellandoor Taluk, and another has recently been opened at Ayellandur Kasba also

All the Taluk Kusbas shewn in list C, have now Ganja Shops attached to them, with the exception of Mandaya; arrangements are being made through the Amildar, for opening out one.

One month's notice has been given to Shopkeepers for closing the superfluous Shops as directed in para 7 of the above Proceedings

A Statement shewing the classification of Shops in this District, is herewith attached.

I have the honor &c

(Signed) A. Ranga Swamy Iyengar
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

"Copy"

To
The Excise Commissioner
&c &c &c
in Mysore

Classification of Ganja Shops in the

No	Name of Taluk	Locality	Names of License-Holders	Sales & profits 1893-9 Average monthly Sales		Profit Gan per
				Seers	Th.	Rs.
1	Mysore	Mysore Town	Annamee	224	-	42
2	"	"	Puttamah	97	-	18
3	"	"	Marry Gowda	88	"	16
4	"	"	Ganesaprasad	58	"	10
5	"	"	Pappamah	76	"	14
6	"	"	Doddroya Chary	18	-	3
7	"	"	Chami Naidu	30	-	5
8	Seringapatam	Seringapatam	Govindiah	34	-	6
9	"	Ganjam	Seshu Chetty	72	-	13
10	"	Kunigoor	Ranga Pillay	24	-	4
11	"	Meilkote	Kapini Chetty	15	-	2
12	"	French Rocks	Munchappa	16	-	2
13	"	—do—	Ramasamy Naidu	23	-	4
14	Hunsurapet	Hunsurapet	Gani Gowda	14	"	2
15	Malvalli	Malvalli	Chenaveera Chetty	16	-	3
16	Nanjangud	Nanjangud	Perumal Pillay	38	-	7
17	Chamrajnagar	Chamrajnagar			"	"
18	Gundlupet	Gundlupet	Najiah (9)	22	"	4
19	Nagamangala	Nagamangala	Puttoo Chetty	15	-	2
20	"	Nellikere	Papamah	12	-	2
21	Yelandur	Yelandur	Belagiah	-	"	-
22	"	Kagara	Ismail Saib	3	-	"
23	T. Narsipur	T. Narsipur	Ramaliga Moodliar	12	-	2
24	"	Bannur	Belagee	18	-	3
25	Hunsur	Hunsur	Ramakrishna Chetty	30	-	5
26	Yedatore	Yedatore	Lakshmana Moodliar	11	-	2
27	"	Saligrama	Puttamah	-	"	"
28	Hegadadevankote	Hegadadevankote	Puttisamy	3	-	"

1st Copy Print Copy

2/: 7 0 - 0

Mysore District

1894-95.

No. of shops to be retained	Classification of shops	Amount realized as license fees. Rs.	a.	h.	Remarks.
1	1st Class	10	-	-	To be retained
-		-	-	-	To be cancelled - Notice issued
1	1st Class	10	-	-	To be retained
1	Do	10	-	-	- Do -
1	Do	10	-	-	- Do -
-	Do	-	-	-	To be cancelled - Notice issued
-	Do.	-	-	-	- Do. -
1	4th Class	-	-	-	(1)
1	3rd do	2	-	-	
1	4th do	-	-	-	(2)
-		-	-	-	The seller of opium should be given Ganja license. Separate shops are not required.
1	Do.				
1	Do	-	-	-	
1	Do	-	-	-	
1	Do	-	-	-	
1	Do	-	-	-	
-		-	-	-	Does not hold Ganja license but has accepted to sell Ganja in addition to Opium.
1	4th Class	-	-	-	
1	Do	-	-	-	
1	Do	-	-	-	Does not hold Opium License. but has accepted to sell opium in addition to Ganja.
1	Do	-	-	-	
1	Do	-	-	-	
1	Do	-	-	-	
1	Do	-	-	-	
1	Do	-	-	-	
1	Do	-	-	-	
1	Do	-	-	-	
1	Do	-	-	-	

(Signed). A. Ranganayya Iyengar
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

"Copy"
L Ricketts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

SECTION S. R

FILE NO. 70 of 94-95

SERIAL NO. 4

The Excise Comr
in Mysore

Dy 9/12 March 95

No 14381
D.T. 20 94 Re:

His letter no 2691/262 Dy 6th Dec 94
reporting upon the classification
of Ganja shops in the Mysore
Distt.

In reply, has the honor
to state that the Deputy
Comr has deviated from
the orders of Govt without
fully explaining the necessity
therefor.

2 The arrangements
made by the Dy: Comr
and provisionally concurred in by
the Excise Comr will be
allowed to stand till the
end of the current year

After that the instructions issued by Govt should be carried out, and if any deviation is considered absolutely necessary, it should be fully explained and put up for final order.

N O

9/3/95

Off'g Chief Secy

S. A. J.

9/3/95

SECTION S. R
FILE No. 70 of 94-95
SER'AL No. 05

16

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1895

No. 2319

Name of Petitioner. B. Hanumanta Appa and Enclosures Rahiman Sabi

Village. License holders of Shops Nos. 122

Taluk. City - Bangalore

District.

Date of Arzi. 7-2-95

Date of Receipt. 13-3-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 2

Submitted to Councillor
21/3/95
Recd & Ppl.
Refer.
18.3.95

Rd 19/3

Submitted
Rd 20/3
Thro' Dy Insc
Bang: put up a Extract
thro' D/o in which
Petrs worked - Shop
was not closed. Why no
in file?
21/3/95

Draft put up for approval.
If the councillor's wish Petrs
was allowed to continue on
till the end of the year the fee
prescribed by Govt ought not
to have been reduced but
received in full.
21/3/95

ABSTRACT.

Petrs state that in November last license was granted for Shops Nos. 122 and the 3rd Shop was ordered to be closed - that subsequently license was granted to the caller Shop and the owner thereof named Rahiman Sabi has been doing business from that on representing the matter to the Excise Govt. Ruling No. 998 of 21-1-95 was issued to the effect that a notice has been issued to the Shopkeeper No. 3 that he was granted time till the close of the year and that he should close it afterwards -

Pray that orders may be issued to Excise Commr also of the Enhanced license fee during the year and to recover the same from

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

~~PETITION~~ Revenue DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 2319

dated 7th February 1895 received at the

Dewan's Office from B. Hanumanth

appa and Mahammad Rahi

man Sab Licence holders

of Ganja Shops Nos 1 and 2

in the City of Bangalore,

is forwarded, together with its two

enclosures, to the Excise Commissioner

in Mysore for early report

on the points raised.

SECTION S. R

FILE No. 70 R 94

SERIAL No. 6

By Order,

C. Hayavadana Rao

Chief Secretary

BANGALORE,
22nd March 1895

Compd amn
Copies

M.G.C.P.B.—19-2-95—1,000

17

Revenue
R.K.
No 73

In 15650 dt 22-3-95
R.T. 70-94 25
M2 Rs. 31/5

In 4091 Encs 2
95
Forwarded to
the Head Assistant
for report if there
is any ground
for the complaint
in consequence
of the shops having
been ordered to
be shut on the
Musalman Id con

Mitchell
Excise Comr
29/3/95

30

2319
13/3

18

Enclosures
Nos. 1 & 6

7-2-95

MYSORE DEVELOPMENTS OFFICE.
RECEIVED
REG'D. NO. 2319/R
DATE 7-2-95

COURT FEE Stamp
12-1-95
ONE RUPEE

21

998

21/4/95

Encl: No 207 of 94-95

23 Dy Commissioners Office
Bangalore
20th Dec 1894

Read:—

Petition dated 18/12/94, from License holders of drug shop No 1, Bangalore city praying for either the cancellation of Misam's shop newly opened or remission of their License fees—

Order thereon.

The petitioners are informed that Misams shop was opened as per Excise Commissioner's orders & their shop was closed by Government. The undersigned cannot interfere in the matter.

for Dy Commissioner.
Bangalore

SECTION S. R. Gen. 30.

FILE No. 7094 From

SERIAL No. 7

9 25

1895 13631

15 APR. 23698

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the
Government of ~~Dewan of~~ Mysore.

Dated 12th
Despatched 13th } April 1895
Received 13

Enclosure No. 1 file

General No. 4235
No. 404

~~In reply to~~
With reference to Chief Secretary's No. 15650, dated 22/25-3-95 P. F. 7094

SUBJECT.

Reports on the petitions of Hanumanthappa and Mahomed Rahiman drug shop-keepers, Bangalore city.

Submitted for orders

Rec
13/4

Excise

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

17.4.95.

28/4/95

Reply that the Excise Commissioner while passing the order in question did not intervene in contravention of the explicit orders of Govt. for the reduction of shops, direct to the circumstances of the shops Govt. directed should be closed does not appear to have considered the practical effect of his order would carry with it. The Excise Commissioner is therefore now requested to report

(1) How he proposes to reconcile the actual rate of shops allowed to remain in the City of Bangalore by the Excise Commissioner

(2) If such should not enter actually prevail how the matter will affect the interests & prospects of the shops to be retained

(a) permanently
(b) tentatively till

(c) What will be the steps to be taken by the present incumbents under the Excise Commissioner's order

3. The Dy. Secretary will be requested to submit with Statistical Tables the subject of the Excise Commissioner's report with his views thereon

B. G

23/4

Off. 1 Dy.

Excise Commissioner's Office,

Encl: 1 file

No. 4235/404 Bangalore, dated 12th/13th April 1895.

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Under Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Excise department.

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memorandum No. 15650/R.F.T.70-94, dated 22/25 March 1895, forwarding Petition No. 2319, dated 7th February 1895, from B. Hanumanthappa and Mahomed Rahiman Saib, I have the honor to state that I have, in my letter No. 2691/262 dated 6th December 1894, explained that one month's notice to cancel licenses of superfluous drug shops is insufficient and

How can the Sx: Commr dispose of the Complaint when the Govt should pass orders in the matter alone city. His order will close the matter in question does not dispose of the Complaint regarding the difference in the rates between

Drafted

and that such shops should be closed from 1st July 1895. Accordingly the Superfluous drug shop in question at the Bangalore city, has been given time till 30th June 1895.

The enclosures are herewith returned.

I have the honorable,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servt

S Mukerji
Excise Commissioner
Mysore in Mysore

SECTION L.R.
FILE No. 70794.
SERIAL No. 8

(10)

The Excise Comr
in Mysore

Dy 3rd May/95

No 19463
R.T. 70-94

Sir.

His letter no 4235 Dy 12th
April/95 reporting on the
petitions of Hanumanthappa
and Muhamad Rahiman Sub
Drug shopkeepers, Bangalore

In reply, I am directed
to enquire how the
Excise Comr has disposed
of the Complaint of the dual
rates prevailing in the
Bangalore City. His order
to close the shop in question
at the close of the year
does not dispose of the
Complaint regarding the
differences in the rates

imposed and how these rates will affect the income of the
Ship-Keepers

3/5/95
Off Chief Engr.

J. A. J.
3/5/95

SECTION C.A Gen. 30.]

FILE NO. 70 of 94

From

SERIAL NO. 9

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

11

1895

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.
16360
30 MAY 1895
Q 4531

Submitted.

2. If approved the Excise Comr may be asked to propose the needful changes in the classification of Ganja shops after taking into consideration the statistics of sales for the year 1894-95.

RS 5/6 Secretary may reply as follows:-

1. The proposal to have shops of different classes in the same town is directly opposed to the principle laid down & directly interdicted in para 4 of the order of Govt No. 4489-98 dd 9th Sept 94.

2. The average income was simply taken to fix a permanent fee for all the shops in the same class, not to give up a portion of income to the shop keeper & under

3. As the total income is available, & the sales are effected in the Bangalore town in four shops the solution of the problem is very simple. The object aimed at will be attained by shifting the shops so as to secure, as nearly as possible, the average income enjoyed by the shop-keeper

To

The Secretary to the Government
Dewan of Mysore,
Excise Department

Dated 27th
Despatched 29th
Received } May 1895

Enclosure No. 1 file.

General No. 5106
No. 466

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Submits copy of communication from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, and recommends that certain Ganja shops may be brought under 2nd Class.

Rev Dewan
Excise 30/5/95

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

RF 70 of 94 on the Subject
forwarded.

1/6/95 576

21

Chief - I suppose to pay the
premium for Insd by
Govt and also the means
of enemy a listing by Victoria of the
Securities ~~the~~ Sales
available. ~~to obtain the~~

4. Attention is invited
to para 4 of the above order
requiring the recovery of
the fee gradually in advance
& it is hoped that Ramsay
in this respect will not
entail any loss on Govt.

B. G

Chief Secy.

S. W. Ltd
S. W. T.
6/6/95

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 27th/29 May 1895.

No. 5106/466
Enclos: 1 file

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To,

The Under Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Excise Department.

Sir,

In forwarding, herewith, Copy of letter No: 1888, dated 25th instant, together with its original enclosures, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, I have the honor to request that under the circumstances therein explained, sanction may be accorded to bring the Ganja shops in Mandi Mohalla and Errungherry of the Mysore town under 2nd class.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant

Illegible

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

No. 1888. Enc: fl.

Deputy Commissioner's Office 33
Mysore District, dated 25th May 95.

From. Enclosure to 89

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No: 4489-98 / R.H. 176-92, dated 9th September 1894, regarding the classification of retail Ganja shops in the Mysore Town, I have the honor to forward for consideration and orders the enclosed petitions from the retail shop keepers praying that their fees may be fixed accordingly to their income, and to state that in Mysore there were originally 7 Ganja shops paying one rupee license fee per mensem for each shop. The Government reduced the number of shops to four and classified them as first class and charged them with a license

license fee of Rs 10/- for each shop.

In para 3 of the Proceedings it is said that shops fetching an income of Rs 29 and above were classified as first class on the average sales of 1892-93, but the fact that the income of the one shop alone was Rs 43/11/- does not seem to have been noticed. If this sum is deducted from the income of all the shops put together viz: Rs 110/2/- and the balance divided among the remaining three shops the average income does not go up to more than Rs 22/- which is less than the minimum of Rs 29 fixed for a 1st class shop.

Calculating upon the above basis, each of the 4 shops is now made to pay Rs 10/- per mensem, after the introduction of the new scale of fees the average income of each shop keeper from January to March 1895 is as follows:—

Amance

Amanee — Rs 61.

Marry Gowda — " — 28.

Puttannah — " — 22.

Papannah — " — 18.

The first two shops are in Sivarampet, and it is there the shops command the largest sales and they may be kept on as 1st class shops. The other two situated in Inundi Mohala and Errnigherry where the sales are low may be classified as 2nd class.

These last two shop-keepers have not paid the license fees as per rules, and have put in petitions requesting to classify their shops according to their income, their request seems reasonable.

The Assistant Supervisors Memo together with statements are herewith submitted for perusal and return for record in this office.

I have &c.

(sd) K. R. Srenivasadyengar
For Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

"Copy"

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Enclosure to 8-7. No. 57.V., 3.6

Memorandum Submitted to the Deputy Commissioner for order

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 4489-98 / R.T.176-92 dated 9th September 194, directing the Classification of Ganja shops with reference to income and the levy of permanent license fee according to a fixed slabwise scale. Beg to submit that according to the above Proceedings four 1st Class Shops have been determined for the Mysore Town and each made to pay a fee of Rs 10 — irrespective of the increase & decrease in sales.

From the enclosed Statement of sales of Ganja for the last quarter ending 31st March/95 of the Mysore Town, it will be seen that only one out of the four Shops whose monthly income is about Rs 29 Could be brought under that of the 1st Class, while two under 2nd Class and one under that of a 3rd Class respectively.

It was anticipated that the Cancelling of the 3 superfluous shops in the Town would have been a source of improvement in the sales, and that it would have given the present shop-keepers a more liberal rate of income than what they were getting in previous years, but on perusal of the accounts it clearly shows that

this

this procedure has not had the desired
effect, as the sales remain very much
the same, with little or no difference,
at least, not to such an extent as to
make them eligible for paying 1st Class
fees, two out of the 3 Shop-Keepers —
whose monthly average income is far
below Rs. 29, plead their inability to
pay the prescribed fee of Rs. 10 per
month or Rs. 60, a quarter now fixed
by Govt: and pray that they may be
allowed to pay fees according to their
monthly sales which application I
think is very reasonable and deserves
consideration, as it would certainly
be very hard to compel them to pay
the highest rate of fees when their
actual income entitles them to be
placed under the 2d or 3d Class and
pay the fees prescribed for such
Classes Viz: Rs 5 & 2.

Even if a comparision be
made between the Sales of the present
and previous years none of the present
Shop-Keepers (excepting in one case) are
eligible to pay the highest rate of fees
now fixed by Govt; and it is
therefore very desirable to fix the fees
according to the Sales in Each Shop
instead of keeping one & all alike,
in which Case I would respectfully
beg to suggest for approval and —
Sanction that the following Classes

of

of Canjee be adopted [redacted]
Town, viz. one 1st Class on Rs 10, and
3 Second Class on Rs 5, Each, of Course
in Case the Sales in any of the Shops
exceed the income (which is uncertain) fixed for that of
the 1st Class Shop the difference of
any, can be recovered.

This in my humble Opinion will
not only give the Lic: holders a more
liberal income, form a livelihood,
but will also facilitate Regular and
punctual Payments of fees which is
at present very difficult to collect.

I beg also to bring to notice that
one of the present Shop-Keepers named
Puttamah is a man of no means
whatever, he has neither paid the
Opium or Ganja Licence fees up to date
though repeated demands & notices
were made and issued on him, the
rules therefore could not be enforced
as the man possesses no property what-
ever for attachment, it would be to
the interest of Govt; to Cancel both
his Opium and Ganja licences altogether
and give it to a man of better means
if any body chooses to take it up so as
to enable Govt: Officials to recover the
fees regularly.

Two petitions one from Papamah,
and the other from Puttamah are
herewith submitted for orders, and I beg
that a reference be kindly made to the

Excise

This Licence
licence may be
cancelled. or
if the next bay
Auction - he has
~~bid~~ to pay Rs 100
or more to Govt.

[illegible]
22/5

Excise Commissioner and the orders of Govt: obtained in the matter. The three years sales of the Nanjangud Shop is also enclosed as ordered, from which it will also be seen that this shop comes under the 3d Class instead of the 2d as now determined by Government. The file is herewith returned.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most obedient & humble Servant

J Mullin

Asst: Superintendent
Abkari Revenue
Mysore District

13 - 5 - 95.

The question
has been decided
& also the Registration
Any action as
the Govt: have
sanctioned the proposal
of keeping the Nanjangud
as a 3rd class shop
thereafter

Esq
22/5/95

In Mysore there
were originally 7 Ganja
shops paying Rs 50
license fee per annum
for each shop. The Govt:
reduced the No:
of shops to four & classified
them as first class &
charged them each
a license fee of Rs 600
for each shop.

In Para 3 of the Dy:
it is said that shops

fetching an
income of £29
& above were
classified as first-
class on the average
sales of 1892-93; but
the fact that
the income of
one shop alone
was £43/11/ does
not seem to have
been noticed.
If this sum is
deducted from
the income of all
the shops put
together, viz £110/4/4
& the balance
divided among
the remaining 8
shops, the amount
does not come
to above £22 which is less
than £29 the
limit of £29

shops. Calculating upon a wrong basis, each of the four shops is now made to pay Rs10 per diem.

After the introduction of the new scale of fees, the income of the shops from day to day is as follows.

Amaravati — 61 Rs0
Bhatiprolu
Ingarjipalem — 28/12/ Rs0
Puttamraju — 22/4 Rs0
Pahamraju — 18/0

The first two shops are in Srivanipet & it is these shops that command the largest sales & they may be kept on as 1st class shops. The other two situated in Inamdi Seshalla & Eppanpoi where the sales are low may be classified as 2nd class. Expenses

These two shopkeepers have not paid the licence fees as per rules & have put in petitions requesting to classify their shops according to their means. Their request seems reasonable.

22/5

Submit the file to Ex Com for necessary

Chairman
DC

24/5

1360 1357/95 R 5350

20/5/95

Forwarded to the Deputy Collector [illegible] for report [illegible] to Petitioner.

17/5/95

[illegible]

F.R. 1698

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1895 [illegible] 15 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 18 [illegible]

10 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
83-23- 88-1-0 100-5

[illegible]
272-5-

[illegible]

[illegible] 100 [illegible] 10 [illegible]

300 [illegible] 10 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 17-4-95 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 10 [illegible]

[illegible] 7-8 [illegible]

[illegible] 10 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ರಸ್ತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೋಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾರಾದರೂ ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡುವಂತೆ ಕೇಳಿಕೊಂಡರೂ ಅವರು ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೨ ರವರು ಸಹ ಇದೇ ರೀತಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೩ ರವರು ಸಹ ಇದೇ ರೀತಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೪ ರವರು ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ

Statement showing the Sales of Ganja & Majum in the Mypore Town for the quarter Ending 31st March 1895 profits realized thereon by the shopkeepers.

No	Locality	Names of Lic: Holders	Sales for 3 months								Profit for 3 months @ 3 annas per seer.												Average profit for 3 months including that of Majum			Remarks.
			January 1895		February		March		Total		January 1895			February			March			Total						
			Seers	Tls	Srs	Tls	Srs	Tls	Seers	Tls	Rs	as	Ps	Rs	as	Ps	Rs	as	Ps	Rs	as	Ps	Rs	as	Ps	
											Ganja															
		Amanna	331	6	296	"	264	12	891	18	62	1	9	55	8	"	49	9	6	167	3	3	58	4	6	
		Puttanna	114	"	111	18	111	18	337	12	21	6	"	20	15	3	20	15	3	63	4	6	21	11	4	
		Murryfowda	127	"	119	"	187	18	433	18	23	13	"	22	5	"	35	3	3	81	5	3	27	14	11	
		Panpannah	83	23	88	1	100	5	272	5	15	11	"	16	8	1	18	12	7	50	15	8	17	8	1	
		Total	656	5	614	19	664	5	1935	5	122	15	9	115	4	4	124	8	7	362	12	8	125	6	10	
											Majum															
		Amanna	25	2	18	15	17	10	61	3	3	2	2	2	5	3	2	2	10	7	10	3	2	8	9	
		Puttanna	5	3	5	"	4	14	14	17	"	10	3	"	10	"	"	9	2	1	13	5	"	9	10	
		Murryfowda	8	18	5	6	5	18	19	18	1	1	6	"	10	6	"	11	6	2	7	6	"	13	2	
		Panpannah	6	7	3	5	2	22	12	10	"	12	7	"	6	5	"	5	10	1	8	10	"	8	3	
		Total	45	6	32	2	30	16	108	"	5	10	6	4	"	2	3	13	4	13	8	"	4	8	"	
		Grand Total	701	11	646	21	694	21	2043	5	128	10	3	119	4	6	128	5	11	376	4	8	-	-	-	

Asst. Inspector
Abkari Depte
Mysore

Statement Shewing the Sales of Ganja, in the Nayanguda Khusbah for the 3 Years ending 30th June 1895, and the profits realized thereon by the Shop-keeper.

Years.	Sales					Average profits per month			Remarks.
	Quantity		Profits on 3 annas per Seer						
	Seers	Tolas	Rs	Annas	Ps	Rs	Annas	Ps	
92 – 93	470	"	88	2	"	7	5	6	
93 – 94	459	"	86	1	"	7	2	9	
94 – 95 (table-)	433	"	81	3	"	6	12	3	

Asst. Superintendent
Abkari Department
Mysore

COURT FEE

ONE ANNA

To Mr. Chengiah Chetty

[illegible]

Vide Memo No. 370 of 13/5/95 submitted

[illegible]

No: 287

8.4.95

To
The Land Acquisition Officer.

I don't think Govt. Pro: have
given the Tribunal Officers
any latitude in such cases.

The Govt. have fixed the
rate of Rs: 100/- per cent
for the land. Therefore
the Govt. may be
moved to fix the
compensation.

SECTION S. A

FILE No. 70 Ty 695

SERIAL No. 10

(12)

The Excise Comm
in Mysore

Dy Genl 95

Ex:

Rd.

His letter no 5106/466 Dy 27th May 95
recommending that certain
Ganja shops in the town of
Mysore may be brought on
under the ~~second~~ 2nd class.

In reply, has the Honor
to state that it is very
inexpedient to reclassify
the shops towards the close
of the year. The number,
localities, and rates,
should be determined
under the Rules; And,
~~Consideration of the~~ ~~enquiry~~ local
circumstances before
the shops are given out
in future.

~~12 3480 d/15/6/95~~

Po 23346
~~File 70~~ d/15/6/95
R.F. 70-94

2. If the present Cases are really hard and require redress, the Dy Comr may recommend some remissions, if the fears remain unrealized after the end of the year.

B.O.

Cl.

Rec'd Secy

11/6/95

52

SECTION S-A

Gen. 30.

FILE No. 70 of 94

From

SERIAL No. 11

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 811
Ex 57
1895 30 JUL. 95
DEWAN'S OFFICE

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To Revenue

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Government
~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.

Dated 25th July 1895.

Despatched 29

Received

Enclosure No. nil

General No. 479
No. 45

In reply to the late Officiating Chief Secretary's ~~No~~ 19463 X / R.F. 70-94, dated 3rd/6 May 1895.

SUBJECT.

Submits statement showing the Average monthly profits to the Ganja shop-keepers in the Bangalore City for 6 months ending 30th June 1895, and the amount of License fees to be remitted in their favor.

Rev Exct 479/95

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

I beg to suggest that the report for Inspn Jour be mentioned & a reminder sent & work hence if not rec'd. The whole matter can then be disposed of by one order

6/8 [illegible]

We need not wait. But the refund Bill is not supported by a Treasury certificate. Call for it & [illegible] 7/8/95

(10) RC 70-94/95

I 4/ Clerk - It should be explained how this paper was struck in the file undisposed of - The

II the lists are not posted up to date & sufficient progress entered This should be given to me -

III - Old drafts - as drafts should be sent in cover & stitched to the counterfoil book for reference & not with fair copies -

III The two files Ganja & Opium have been inspected & signed up by the Exc Com -

IV So if there has been any expenditure it is Opium & [illegible] 20/12/95 S.A.

6/8/95 [illegible]

Excise Commissioner's Office

No. 479 (E) / 45 Bangalore, 25th July 1895. / 29

From,

The Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

To,

The Revenue Secretary to the

Government of Mysore.

Sir,

In reply to the late Officiating Chief Secretary's docket No. 1963 / R.F. 70-94, dated 3rd May 1895, I have the honor to state that the classification of both the Opium and Ganja shops as per Government Proceedings No. 549, dated 27th June, and No. 4489-98 / R.F. 176-92, dated 9th September, 1894, was brought into force in the City of Bangalore only from 1st January 1895, all the 3 Drug shops therein being classed as 1st Class, and a uniform monthly License fee of Rs 20/- (for both Opium and Ganja) recovered from each of the License-Holders for the two

× File before the Secy:- Submitted on 6.4.96

Proposed classification of shops vide file No: 120 of 93/94, (with the Secretary)

two quarters ended 30th June 1895, irrespective of income; and that no dual rates of License fees were prevalent. As the Drug shop No. 3, in which the income from the sale of Ganja was the least, was not closed till the 1st instant, the income from Ganja in one of the remaining two shops, viz Shop No: 2, did not come up to the scale fixed for a 1st class shop. Under such circumstances, a statement, showing the average monthly income in the said 3 Drug shops and the amount of License fees recovered from each of them, and the difference to be now remitted in favor of shops Nos 1 and 3 as per para 2 of your docket No $\frac{23344}{R.F.\ 70-94}$, dated $\frac{15th}{17}$ June 1895, is herewith submitted. A similar Statement for the Mysore town will be sent in due course.

2. As the 3rd shop has been closed from 1st July 1895, I have

no

no doubt but that the income in the 2nd. shop from the year 1895-96 will be such as to place it in the 1st. Class.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

S Ranketta

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

R. S.

4880 56

Ex. 268.

SECTION S.R

FILE No. 70 of 94 To ~~From~~

SERIAL No. 12

1895

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

~~To~~ From.

The Ex. Comr in Mysore

Dated 19 Dec 1895

Despatched 22 8

Received

General No. 2674

No. 253

Enclosure No. (4 of file)

Para 5 of Govt order No 549 Camp. dated 27/6

Submits Statements showing the particulars of consumption of Ganja and Opium reports as to how the present system of the classification of shops has been working, and recommends the introduction of the auctioning system instead, from 1st July 1896.

The original letter is ~~Missing~~ in S.R. 96-1895

"Copy"

Excise Comm's office
Bangalore 19/22 December 1895.

No 2674/253

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To,

The Revenue Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to para 5 of Govt order No 549/Comp. dated 27th June 1894 and to para 5 of Govt order No 4489-98/R.F. 176-92 dated 9th September 1894, I have the honor to submit the following three Statements, — A showing the consumption of opium in each shop during the year 1894-95, the class under which each shop falls with reference to such consumption as per Scale laid down in the above Government Order No 549/Comp dated 27th June 1894, the class under which the shops are shown in this order, and certain shops which are not included in it; B showing the consumption of Ganja and

and Majam in each shop during the year 1894–95, the income derived by each shop keeper, the class under which each shop falls with reference to such income as per scale laid down in the aforesaid Govt order No. 4489–98 / R.F 176–92 dated 9th September 1894, the class under which the shops are shown in this order, and certain shops which are omitted in it, and C showing the shops from which the License fees received for 1894–95 are not commensurate with the consumption of the drugs and the amount which ought therefore to be refunded to them as per Govt Proc No. 23,344 / R.F. 70–94 dated 15/17th June 1895.

2. The following shops which were in existence at the time of the issue of the above mentioned Govt orders for which the information was not furnished from this office, are omitted in them:—

~~District~~

District.

District	Taluk	Locality	opium Shops	Ganja Shops
Bangalore	Magadi	Thavarekere	"	1
Kolar	Chintamony	Chintamony	1	"
	Bowringpet	Marikooppam	1	"
	Goribidnur	Goribidnur	1	"
Tumkur	Gubbi	Gubbi	1	"
	Tiptur	Thirivakere	"	1
	Chickmaikenhally	Hulegar	"	1
		Kunikere	"	1
Mysore	T. Narsipur	Bannur	"	1
	Yelandur	Yelandur	"	1
		Agara	1	1
	Gundlepet	Gundlepet	"	1
	Yedatore	Yedatore	"	1
	Heggadadevankote	Heggadadevankote	"	1
	Krishnaraj pet	Krishnaraj pet	"	1
	Nagamangala	Nelligere	"	1
	Nanjungode	Nanjungode	1	"
Hassan	Hassan	Alur	"	1
	Arkalgud	Arkalgud	1	1
	T. Narsipur	T. Narsipur	1	1
Kadur	Kadur	Kadur	"	1
	Thirthkere	Ajampur	"	1
	Koppa	Koppa	1	"
	Moodegere	Moodegere	1	"
Shimoga	Shimoga	Hole honnur	1	"
	Sagar	Anvihally	"	1
Chitaldrug	Davangere	Serigere	"	1
	Holalkere	Hollakkere	"	1
		Hosadrug	"	1
	Molakalmuru	Malkalmuru	"	1
		Total	11	22

3. The shops have to be recal reclassified as

per

per columns 6 and 10 in the accompanying Statements A and B in accordance with the consumption of the respective drugs in the year 1894-95. The consumption will similarly fluctuate every year which will necessitate frequent reclassification and perhaps also the refund of a portion of the License fees collected. Besides this defect, the present System involves minute enquiries and examination of the Shop-Keepers' accounts and unnecessary interference with their transactions by Excise officers; and it is also calculated to foster the growth of objectionable monopolies. There seems to be no reason why competition should not be encouraged by selling at public auction, as in British territories, the right of the vend of opium and Ganja as in the case of Arrack, Toddy and Country Beer. The opium Rule XIV in Government Notification No. 64 dated 4th February 1880 provides that the monopoly of retail vend at Shops shall be sold for such fee as the

the Government may fix, or put up to auction at the commencement of each official year, and the shops shall be disposed of singly or in groups as the Deputy Commissioner shall direct. The opium Rule XVII provides that with the sanction of the Commissioner, the Deputy Commissioner may farm the duties leviable on the sale of opium by retail. It is desirable that similar provision should be made in the Ganja Rules which have not yet been published by Government. The results of auction sales would determine the amount of License fee payable for each shop. At present, drug shops are put up to auction in some Districts where more than one application are received for one and the same shop. On inviting the opinions of the Deputy Commissioners, some have recommended the farming system in regard to all drug shops generally, while others only in the case of the shops in towns. I beg to submit the marginally noted correspondence containing the views of the Deputy Comrs. It is true that in some shops the consumption of the drugs is meagre, indicating that it

1 Letter No. 145, dated 15th October 1895 from the Dy Comr Bangalore.
2. Letter No. 1657 dated 26th Octr '95 from the 106 Deputy Comr Kolar Dt
3. — do — 110 dated 15th July 1895 from do — Tumkur Dt.
4. — do — 206 dated 1st August 95 from do — Mysore Dt

5. Letter No 2498 dated 6th August '95 from the Dy. Comm. Nassau Dt

6. Do — 33 dated 31st July '95
— Do — Kadur Dt

7. Do — 38 dated 8th August '95
Do — Kadur Dt.

8. Do — 153/15 dated 17th July '95
— Do — Shimoga Dt

9. Do 32 dated 15th July '95
— Do — Chitaldrug Dt

it is not worth the trouble of keeping the shops. I have however reason to suspect that contraband drugs are secretly sold in some though not in all, of such shops. I am of opinion that auction sales would in a great measure put an end to all malpractices. If there be no bids at all for any single shops, then it should be inferred that no public demand existed for them, and that they ought not to be opened. If no one comes forward to purchase any single shops whose continuance may be considered necessary, the shops may be grouped together and sold as "Vend Farms" instead of as "Separate Farms".

2. Under the above circumstances, I beg to solicit the sanction of Government to introduce the auctioning system in regard to Opium and Ganja shops from the year 1896-97, at least as an experimental measure.

I have &c.

(Sd). L. Ricketts

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

Enclosure to 842 Deputy Commissioner's office
Bangalore District.

A. 145
E. 2.

Dated 15th October 1895.

To,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your No. 5593–600/282, dated 22d June 1895, I have the honor to forward, herewith, statements showing the consumption of Opium and Ganja in the Bangalore District for the year 1894–95. In the Statement I have shown the class under which each shop falls with reference to consumption monthly, quarterly and annually. Upon these statistics, I would classify the shops for the year 1895–96 as follows:—

A. Opium Shops	Class	Fee.
1 City Shop No. 1.	I.	120 Rs.
2. — do — 2	I.	120 Rs.
3 — do — 3	I.	120 "
4 — do — 4 abolished.	—	—
5 & 6 (Two Shops)	IV	—
7 Closepet	III	60 Rs.
8 Channapatna No. 1.	III.	60 Rs.
9 —	2 (abolished)	—
10 to 14 —	IV	"

372

B Ganja Shops.

1	Bilij Shop No 1	I	120 Rs.
2	" 2	I	120 Rs.
3	do 3	III	24 Rs.
4	do 4	Abolished	"
5 to 14	District	IV	"
15	Nelamangala	III	24
16 to 18	District	IV	"
19	Closepet	III	24.
20	District	IV	"
21	Channapatna No 1	III	24.
22.	do No 2.	Abolished.	"
23 to 26.	District.	IV	"

336. 708—"

Bilij drug shop No 1 sold a little less than what is required to fall in the 1st class but the difference i.e Rs 6 in the year is very small. Ganja Shops at Closepet and Nelamangala are in the Govt. prop classed as 4th class Shops. Upon the consumption of last year I propose to bring them under the 3rd class. An opium shop at Sulebele and Ganja Shop at Sulebele and Gudemaranahally are shops that

that exist at present though their names do not appear in the lists attached to the Govt Proc. It is the Hosker Shop that has been transferred to Sulebele and Gudimaranahally shop was newly opened last year

3. Fees at first class rates have been collected from the three drug shops in the Bangalore City for the last two quarters of the past year, both for Ganja and Opium licenses, amounting to Rs 360/. The Head Supervisor who did not collected ~~to do so~~ Opium license fee for the first two quarters has been ordered to do so. As only 694 and 517 Seers of Ganja have been sold in City Shops Nos I and III respectively, I would like to classify them in the 2d and 3d class for the period and to refund them the difference of fees viz Rs 30 and Rs 48 respectively I would also return Rs 30 to the Opium Shop at Closepet which is classed in the 2d class as upon its consumption it falls only in the 3rd Class. No Ganja Shop in the District falls in the 3rd Class except the one at Nelamangala for

for the 2d half year and Rs 12 is levied on it.

4. I have not much to say either for or against the new System as it worked last year, as I think that 6 months is too short a period to afford opportunity for report with confidence.

5. Referring to para 3 of your letter, I think that it is not worth while to put up most of the drug shops to auction as the profits are very small. The auction System may, however be tried with advantage for the first class shops.

I have &c
(Sd) M. Annaji Rao
for Dy Comr

Kolar Dy Commissioner's Office
Dated. 26/31 October 1895.

No 1657/1069

To,
The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 5593-600/282, dated 22d June 1895 calling for opinion as to how the System of classification of Ganja

and

and Opium Shops has worked in the District and whether the right of vend in such shops may be sold by public auction, I have the honor to forward herewith, Statements showing the consumption of Ganja and opium in each of the drug shops during 1894-95, and to state that it is too early to form a correct idea of the working of the shops under the new System of classification. I have issued orders to the Amildars to give them a fair trial for another year.

2. The opium shops in the District on which fees are levied have been classified as follows:—

Locality of Shop	Class of Shop	Amount of fees payable per annum
Kolar Town	2d. Class	36.
Bowringpet	do.	36.
Oorigaum	do.	36.
Chickballapur	do.	36.

By this arrangement 4 opium Shops will only be leviable to pay a license fee as prescribed by Govt, the remaining shops will for the present have to pay nothing as

the

the sales fall below 4 4/15 seers per mensem.

4. The Ganja Shops have also been classified as shown below. The fees have been levied on them according to the income derived from each shop.

Name of Shop.	Class of Shop.	Amount of fees payable per annum
Kolar	III class	24 Rs
Chintamani	do.	24 Rs
Chickballapur	do	24 Rs.

I have &c.
(Sd) Narain Iyengar
for Dy Commissioner

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated. 15/16 July 1895.

No 110.

His docket No. 5593-600/282 of the 22d June 1895 calling for a statement showing the consumption of opium and Ganja in this

this District during 1894-95 with a report as to how the system of classification now in force has worked in the passed year and whether any improvement is necessary in it

Has the honor to submit herewith two statements marked A and B showing the Consumption of Opium and Ganja in the district during the year 1894-95. It will be seen that with the exception of the Opium Shop at Trunkur falling under class II and the two Ganja Shops at Trunkur and Tiptur falling under class III; the other Shops in the District are all in the 4th class and pay no fee to Govt. The Consumption in these shops is trifling and the profits of the Shop-keepers are consequently insignificant. The rules introduced last year in regard to these Shops have given satisfaction. Should it however be decided to farm the right of vend by public competition the undersigned would advocate the introduction of the system only to the Kasba town of Trunkur and perhaps to Tiptur also if the Consumption there continues to increase

(By) M. Ramia Rao
offg. Dy Commissioner

No 206

Dy Comrs Office
Mysore Dt
Dated 1st August 1895.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore Dt.

To,

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your docket no 5593-600/282 dated the 22d June 1895, requesting to be furnished with a Statement showing the consumption of opium and Ganja in each of the drug Shops in the District, I have the honor to enclose two Statements (marked A and B) giving the information required. It will be seen therefrom that with the exception of 2 drug Shops in the Mysore Town, the fees recovered from all the others in the District are commensurate with the Sales therein. The two shops of Mysore which are in the divisions called Mundy Mohalla and Eraugere and in which the sales are low may, I think, be reduced from the 1st to the 2d Class.

2. With regard to the farming of these shops as in the case of Arrack and the Toddy

shops

shops, I beg to state that the Assistant Superviser says:—

"The majority of the outlying shops in the District are of little or no importance. It would therefore be of no advantage to attempt it, as it may probably tend to the closing of such superfluous shops altogether for want of competition and besides some of the shops being rent and the sales being so low I dont think anybody would come forward to compete for them if they were to be put up to auction. I would however recommend that in localities where shops command higher sales or such shops classed under 1st, 2nd and 3rd classes may I think with advantage be farmed out leaving the other superfluous shops untouched for the present".

I am unable to give my opinion in the matter as I am yet new to the District, but I may nevertheless add that I agree with you in thinking that the system of farming has the advantage of doing away with all interference with these shops.

I have &c
(Sd) B. K. Venkataramanaiya
Dy Comr. Mysore Dt

Deputy Comr's office
Hassan Dt
2 6 VIII. 1895.

No. 249.

From.

A. Krishnaswamiengar Esq
Dy Comr. Hassan Dt.

To,

The Excise Commissioner in
Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your No. 5593-600/282, dated 22d June 1895, I have the honor to submit a 1st July statement showing consumption of opium and Ganja in the shops in this district. It will be seen from it that the Hassan opium shop which had been placed in the second class falls slightly below that class and that the Saklespur shop placed in the fourth class comes under the third. As regards Ganja, the Hassan and Saklespur shops fall under the 3rd class.

2. I do not think it desirable to make a refund retrospectively for the Hassan opium Shop. The man accepted the classification and the license and should bear the loss as he would have been entitled to profit by the gain.

3. The present system of classification and levy of license fees for the higher classes of Shops has so far worked well. To sell only particular classes of Shops by auction would not do away with an examination of the accounts which it is desired to dispense with. It would, therefore be desirable to sell the Shops throughout the District. I was doubtful whether there would be a demand for the less important of them. But it will be seen from the enclosed (to be kindly returned) Darkast that there will be bidders if the right to sell drugs is put up to auction for the whole district.

I have &c.
(Sd) A. Krishnaswamiengar
Dy Commr

Office of the Deputy Commr
Kadur dt.
& 31st July / 2d Sept. 1895.

No. 33.

From

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your letter no 5593-600/282 dated 22d June 1895. I have the honor to enclose herein a statement showing particulars of the consumption of Ganja and Opium in the Drug Shops of the District during the year 1894-95, together with the amount of expenses incurred and the net profit accrued therefrom, and to state that the amount of license fees paid by the Shop-keepers has been reasonable and there is no necessity for the refund of any part thereof. But as the necessity of some of the shops is doubtful I am of opinion that your suggestion of putting all those shops up to auction separately at say an upright price of Rs 10/- would be the fairest means of ascertaining whether they

are

are actually needed. this would also be the best means of determining the future amount of license fees to be fixed.

From the Statt now submitted Chickmagalur may be changed from II to I class and Aldur and Sarikere from IV to III class.

I have &c.

(S) H. H. Sparkes
Dy Comm, Kadur Dt

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur Dt

To,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

No 38 Dated 8th August 1895.

This office No 33 of the 25/31 July 1895

In continuation of the above has the honor to forward, herewith, a revised Statt showing particulars of consumption in the Drug Shops of the

the District during the year 1894-95.

(Sd) H. H. Sparkes

Dy Commissioner, Kadur Dt.

Shimoga District
Dy Commissioner's Office
Dated 17th July 1895.

No. 153/15.

To,

The Excise Commissioner in
Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 5593-600/282, dated 22nd Ultimo, I have the honor to forward herewith, two Statements showing the Consumption of Ganja and Opium in each of the Drug Shops in the District for the year 1894-95, and to state that no fees were recovered for such of the Ganja Shops as were liable to pay fee according to the classification, as the shops had been sold before the receipt of the Govt Proc No. 4489-98/RE 176-92 dated 9th September 1894 and hence there is no necessity for a refund. Fees on opium licenses have been levied.

I concur generally in the force

of

of the remarks made by the Excise Comm, but would urge on the other hand, that sales are apt to generate rivalries and unhealthy competition tending to induce increase of the bids. The successful competitor will then be compelled to have recourse to malpractices for reimbursing himself. I think therefore that disposal by auction may be allowable in cases of town and other shops with large sales and that it is inadvisable in in the cases of those established in Taluk Kasbas and villages.

I have &c.
(Sd) A. Ranganamiengar
Dy Commissioner
Shimoga Dt.

1895.

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug Dt.

To,
The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

Dated 15/16 July/1895.

No. 32.

With reference to your Office Memorandum No.

No 5593-600 / 282 dated 22d. Ultimo, has the honor to forward two Statements showing the Consumption of Ganja and Opium in the Shops in this District during the year 1894-95.

2. It would appear from them that the Consumption of the drugs in these shops, which are all of them of the 4th class excepting Damargee & Chittal drug is so small that there is no likelihood of any competition forthcoming for those shops. In Damargee and Chittal drug some skilled labourers from Northern India are making a temporary sojourn in connection with Sanitary and other works that are approaching completion and they cannot be depended upon to make a permanent stay. The undersigned is therefore not prepared to recommend the shops in these two places being placed in a class higher than what has now been assigned to them.

(Sd) M. S. Narain Rao
Ag Dy Comr Chittal drug St.

"Copy"
(Sd) L. Ricketts
Ex. Comr in Mysore

To

The Excise Commr in
Mysore

No. 7762 / Ex. 230 & 26.2.96 2/3

25th July/95

His letter No: 419/45 Submitting a refund bill on account of License fees to be remitted in favour of the Ganja Shop keepers in the Bangalore City for the 6 months ending 30th June 1895.

SECTION S-1
FILE No. 70 of 94-95
SER'AL No. 13

~~In reply~~ As the Refund bill submitted with the above is not supported by a treasury certificate, has the honour to return the bill with a request that the credit of the amounts on the back may be certified and the bill resubmitted.

By Order
H Cole
26/2
Secretary

1895-96

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

EXCISE

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 11*th April* 1896

Camp No. 93-94

Directing certain amendments in the classification of Ganja shops.

M.G.C.P.B.—1-5-96—120

B—*continued*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Name of the District.	Name of Taluk.	Locality and License No. of the shop.	Consumption of Ganja in 1894-95.	Profit allowed for retail vend at 3 annas a seer.	Consumption of Majam in 1894-95.	Profit allowed for retail vend at 2 annas a seer.	Total profit for Ganja and Majam for 1894-95.	Average profit per month for Ganja and Majam.	Class under which each shop falls with reference to income in column 9 in accordance with the scale laid down in Government Proceedings No. 4489-98—R. F. 176-92, dated 9th September 1894.	In what class shown in the Government Proceedings quoted in column 10.	Remarks.
			Srs. Tolas.	Rs. a. p.	Srs. Tolas.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Class.	Class.	
Hassan.	Hassan	Hassan	811 12	152 2 6	.....	.....	152 2 6	12 10 11	III	III	
		Alur	291 12	54 10 6	.....	.....	54 10 6	4 8 11	IV		Omitted in Govt. Progs.
	Arsikere	Arsikere	581 6	108 15 9	.....	.....	108 15 9	9 1 4	IV	IV	
		Banavar	429 10	80 8 3	.....	.....	80 8 3	6 11 4	IV	IV	
		Harnahalli	41 0	7 11 0	.....	.....	7 11 0	0 10 3	IV		
	Arkalgud	Arkalgud	154 4	28 14 6	.....	.....	28 14 6	2 6 7	IV		Ditto
	Manjarabad	Saklespur	782 0	146 10 0	.....	.....	146 10 0	12 3 6	III	IV	
	Hole-Narsipur ...	Hole-Narsipur	264 0	49 8 0	.....	.....	49 8 0	4 2 0	IV		Ditto
	Channarayapatna ...	Channarayapatna	240 0	45 0 0	.....	.....	45 0 0	3 12 0	IV	IV	
	Belur	Belur	562 0	105 6 0	.....	.....	105 6 0	8 12 6	IV	IV	
		Gendahalli	59 12	11 2 6	.....	.....	11 2 6	0 14 10	IV		
Kadur.	Chikmagalur	Chikmagalur	2,363 4	443 1 6	.....	.....	443 1 6	36 14 9	I	II	
		Aldur	715 14	134 2 9	.....	.....	134 2 9	11 2 11	III	IV	
	Mudigere	Mudigere	1,094 0	205 2 0	.....	.....	205 2 0	17 1 0	III	IV	
	Kadur	Kadur	229 0	42 15 0	.....	.....	42 15 0	3 9 3	IV		Ditto
		Birur	460 3	86 4 4	.....	.....	86 4 4	7 3 0	IV	IV	
	Tarikere	Tarikere	1,158 12	217 3 6	.....	.....	217 3 6	18 1 4	III	IV	
		Ajjampur	115 18	21 11 3	.....	.....	21 11 3	1 12 11	IV		Ditto

Mysore.	Mysore	Mysore City No. 1	3,181	18	596	9 3	272	15	34 1 3	630	10 6	52 8 11	I	I	
		No. 2	1,108	12	207	13 6	53	0	7 4 0	215	1 6	17 14 10	III	I	This shop is now classed 2nd class.
		No. 3	1,614	12	302	11 6	85	10	10 10 10	313	6 4	26 1 10	II	I	This shop may be classed 1st class as the sales are increased.
		No. 4	407	0	76	5 0	36	7	4 8 7	80	13 7	6 11 10	Closed.		
		No. 5	1,276	22	239	6 9	51	18	6 7 6	245	14 3	20 7 10	II	I	
		No. 6	300	0	56	4 0	48	20	6 1 8	62	5 8	5 3 2	Closed.		
		No. 7	127	4	23	13 6	15	12	1 15 0	25	12 6	2 2 5	Closed.		
	T. Narsipur	T. Narsipur	149	10	28	0 3	.....		.....	28	0 3	2 5 4	IV	IV	
		Bannur	189	12	35	8 6	.....		.....	35	8 6	2 15 5	IV		Omitted in Govt. Progs.
	Yelandur	Yelandur	85	0	6	9 0	.....		.....	6	9 0	0 8 9	IV		Ditto
		Agara	83	6	16	8 9	.....		.....	16	8 9	1 6 1	IV		Ditto
	Nanjangud	Nanjangud	477	23	89	9 10	.....		.....	89	9 10	7 7 6	IV	III	
	Gundlupet	Gundlupet	229	16	43	1 0	.....		.....	43	1 0	3 9 5	IV		Ditto
	Hunsur	Hunsur	442	0	82	14 0	.....		.....	82	14 0	6 14 6	IV	IV	
	Yedatore	Yedatore	134	6	25	2 9	.....		.....	25	2 9	2 1 7	IV		Ditto
		Saligram	100	0	18	12 0	.....		.....	18	12 0	1 9 0	IV		
	Chamrajnagar... ...	Chamrajnagar	77	0	14	7 0	.....		.....	14	7 0	1 3 3	IV		
	Heggaddevankote ...	Heggaddevankote	42	14	7	15 9	.....		.....	7	15 9	0 10 8	IV		Ditto
	Krishnarajpete ...	Krishnarajpete	257	0	48	3 0	.....		.....	48	3 0	4 0 3	IV		Ditto
	Seringapatam	Seringapatam	412	0	77	4 0	12	12	1 9 10	78	13 10	6 9 2	IV	IV	
		Kukkere	.....		.....		.....		.....	.....		.....	IV		
		Ganjam	990	8	185	11 0	.....		.....	185	11 0	15 7 7	III	III	
		French Rocks	63	0	11	13 0	8	0	1 0 0	12	13 0	1 1 1	Closed.		
		Do	516	0	96	12 0	2	10	0 4 10	97	0 10	8 1 5	IV	IV	
		Kirangur	360	3	67	8 4	.....		.....	67	8 4	5 10 0	IV	IV	
		Melkote	214	12	40	3 6	2	15	0 5 3	40	8 9	3 6 1	IV	IV	
	Nagamangala ...	Nagamangala	190	12	35	11 6	.....		.....	35	11 6	2 15 7	IV	IV	
		Nelligere	182	0	34	2 0	.....		.....	34	2 0	2 13 6	IV		
	Malvalli	Malvalli	254	21	47	12 8	.....		.....	47	12 8	3 15 9	IV	IV	Ditto
	Mandya	Mandya	61	4	11	7 6	.....		.....	11	7 6	0 15 3	IV		

B—*concluded.*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Name of the District.	Name of Taluk.	Locality and License No of the shop.	Consumption of Ganja in 1894-95.	Profit allowed for retail vend at 3 annas a seer.	Consumption of Majam in 1894-95.	Profit allowed for retail vend at 2 annas a seer.	Total profit for Ganja and Majam for 1894-95.	Average profit per month for Ganja and Majam.	Class under which each shop falls with reference to income in column 9 in accordance with the scale laid down in Government Proceedings No. 4489-98—R. F. 176-92, dated 9th September 1894.	In what class shown in the Government Proceedings quoted in column 10.	Remarks.
			Srs. Tolas.	Rs. a. p.	Srs. Tolas.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs a. p.			
Chitaldrug.	Chitaldrug	Chitaldrug	798 6	149 10 9	.....	.....	149 10 9	12 7 7	III	IV	The Deputy Commissioner is not willing to class these shops as III class.
	Davangere	Davangere	702 12	131 11 6	.....	.....	131 11 6	10 15 7	III	IV	
		Hathadi	69 0	12 15 0	.....	.....	12 15 0	1 1 3	IV		
		Harihar	125 12	23 8 6	.....	.....	23 8 6	1 15 5	IV	IV	
		Serigere	93 0	17 7 0	.....	.....	17 7 0	1 7 1	IV		Omited in Government Proceedings.
	Holalkere	Holalkere	189 18	35 9 3	.....	.....	35 9 3	2 15 7	IV		Ditto
		Hosdrug	121 0	22 11 0	.....	.....	22 11 0	1 14 3	IV		Ditto
	Molkalmuru	Molakalmuru	45 0	8 7 0	.....	.....	8 7 0	0 11 3	IV		Ditto
	Challakere	Challakere	51 0	9 9 0	.....	.....	9 9 0	0 12 9	IV		

Abstract of the existing number of shops as per revised calculations.

District.	1st Class shops.	2nd Class shops.	3rd Class shops.	4th Class shops.	Total.	Remarks.
Bangalore City	2	...	1	...	3	
Rest of Bangalore District	...	...	2	20	22	
Kolar District	...	...	3	16	19	
Tumkur District	...	...	2	18	20	
Mysore Town	2	2	...	...	4	
Rest of Mysore District	...	...	1	21	22	
Hassan	...	...	2	9	11	
Kadur	1	...	3	8	12	
Shimoga	1	...	2	18	21	
Chitaldrug	...	...	2	7	9	
Total ...	6	2	18	117	143	

Shimoga.	Koppa	Koppa	352 0	66 0 0	.....	.....	66 0 0	5 8 0	IV	IV			
		Yedahalli	463 0	86 13 0	.....	.....	86 13 0	7 3 9	IV	IV			
		Hariharpur	332 14	62 5 9	.....	.....	62 5 9	5 3 2	IV	IV			
		Sringeri	436 0	81 12 0	.....	.....	81 12 0	6 13 0	IV	IV			
		Kunchibail	137 6	25 11 9	.....	.....	25 11 9	2 3 4	IV	IV			
	Shimoga	Shimoga	2,106 0	394 14 0	50 0	6 4 0	401 2 0	33 6 10	I	II			
		Benkipur	416 12	78 1 6	.....	.....	78 1 6	6 8 1	IV	IV			
		Holehonnur	399 0	74 13 0	20 12	2 9 0	77 6 0	6 7 2	IV	IV			
		Iyanur	436 0	81 12 0	.....	.....	81 12 0	6 12 0	IV	IV			
	Honnali	Honnali	400 0	75 0 0	.....	.....	75 0 0	6 4 0	IV	IV			
		Nyamti	401 0	75 3 0	.....	.....	75 3 0	6 4 3	IV	IV			
	Channagiri	Channagiri	232 0	43 8 0	.....	.....	43 8 0	3 10 0	IV	IV			
	Shikarpur	Shikarpur	501 12	94 0 6	.....	.....	94 0 6	7 13 4	IV	IV			
		Siralkoppa	133 0	24 15 0	.....	.....	24 15 0	2 1 3	IV	IV			
	Sagar	Sagar	934 18	175 4 3	.....	.....	175 4 3	14 9 8	III	III			
		Siravante	387 0	72 9 0	.....	.....	72 9 0	6 0 9	IV	IV			
		Avinahalli	159 21	29 15 7	.....	.....	29 15 7	2 7 11	IV		Omitted in Govt. Progs.		
		Talguppa	19 12	3 10 6	.....	.....	3 10 6	0 4 10	IV				
		Anantapur	75 20	14 3 6	.....	.....	14 3 6	1 2 11	IV				
	Sorab	Sorab	322 12	60 7 6	.....	.....	60 7 6	5 0 7	IV	IV			
		Ulivi	142 4	26 10 6	.....	.....	26 10 6	2 3 6	IV	IV			
		Muthoguppe	37 8	7 0 0	.....	.....	7 0 0	0 9 4	IV	IV			
		Anavatti	8 0	1 8 0	.....	.....	1 8 0	0 2 0	Closed.				
	Tirthahalli	Tirthahalli	813 0	152 7 0	.....	.....	152 7 0	12 11 3	III	IV			
		Kuruvalli	165 0	30 15 0	.....	.....	30 15 0	2 9 3	IV	IV			
		Konandur	402 0	75 6 0	.....	.....	75 6 0	6 4 6	IV	IV			
	Nagar	Kallurkatte	382 0	71 10 6	.....	.....	71 10 0	5 15 6	IV				

14

READ :—

Letter No 2674—253, dated 19th December 1895, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, submitting a report on the working of the present system of classification, together with a statement showing the consumption, of Ganja and Majam, and recommending the introduction of the auctioning system from 1st July 1896.

No. 93-94, DATED, CAMP MYSORE, 11TH APRIL 1896.

ORDER THEREON.—The system of classification sanctioned in Government Proceedings No. 4489-98—R. F. 176-92, dated 9th September 1894, has not been tried sufficiently long to justify its being so soon condemned and re-placed by a system of auction sales. Government are not, therefore, prepared to accept the Excise Commissioner's recommendation in its entirety. There is, however, nothing to prevent shops in selected localities being sold where there is a likelihood of *bonâ fide* competition. (Purchasers at such sales will not, however, be exempted from payment of license fees.)

2. The experience gained during the past year has led to certain modifications being suggested in the classification of shops. The Excise Commissioner's suggestion will be accepted and the classification of shops amended as shown in the appended list.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. and Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

Exd—H·A·R·

B—Statement showing the Consumption of Ganja and Majam in the Retail shops in the various Districts in the Province during the year 1894-95 and the income derived by each shop-keeper.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Name of the District.	Name of Taluk.	Locality and License No. of the shop.	Consumption of Ganja in 1894-95.	Profit allowed for retail vend at 3 annas a seer.	Consumption of Majam in 1894-95.	Profit allowed for retail vend at 2 annas a seer.	Total profit for Ganja and Majam for 1894-95.	Average profit per month for Ganja and Majam.	Class under which each shop falls with reference to income in column 9 in accordance with the scale laid down in Government Proceedings No. 4489-98—R. F. 176-94, dated 9th September 1894.	In what class shown in the Government Proceedings quoted in column 10.	Remarks.
			Srs. Tolas.	Rs. a. p.	Srs. Totas.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Class.	Class.	
Bangalore.	Bangalore	Bangalore City No. 1	1,395 0	261 9 0	15 2	1 14 2	263 7 2	21 15 3	I.	I	
		Ditto No. 2	2,532 0	474 12 0	38 23	4 13 11	479 9 11	39 15 6	I.	I	
		Ditto No. 3	784 0	147 0 0	11 5	1 6 5	148 6 5	12 5 10	III	...	
		Ditto No. 4	198 0	37 2 0	4 16	0 9 4	37 11 4	3 2 3	Closed.	...	
		Begur	60 0	11 4 0	... ...	.....	11 4 0	...	IV	IV	
		Yelahanka	172 0	32 4 0	... ...	.....	32 4 0	0 15 0	IV	IV	
	Dodballapur	Dodballapur	459 0	86 1 0	... ...	.....	86 1 0	2 11 0	IV	IV	
	Hoskote	Hoskote	311 0	58 5 0	25 17	3 3 5	61 8 5	7 2 9	IV	IV	
		Sulibele	57 12	10 12 6	... ...	.....	10 12 6	5 2 0	IV	...	
		Nandagudi	53 14	10 0 9	... ...	.....	10 0 9	0 14 4	IV	IV	
	Devanhalli	Devanhalli	469 13	88 0 7½	... ...	.....	88 0 8	0 13 5	IV	IV	
		Vadigenhalli	328 12	61 9 6	... ...	.....	61 9 6	7 5 5	IV	IV	
		Budigere	103 0	19 5 0	15 0	1 14 0	21 3 0	5 2 1	IV	IV	
	Channapatna	Channapatna	588 0	110 4 0	... ...	.....	110 4 0	1 12 3 9 3 0	IV	IV	
		Ditto	124 12	23 5 6	... ...	.....	23 5 6	1 15 1	Closed.		This shop can be classed as III Class as the 2nd shop is closed.
		Closepet	597 12	112 0 6	... ...	.....	112 0 6	9 5 4	IV	IV	
		Bidadi	114 3	21 6 5	... ...	.....	21 6 5	1 12 6	IV	IV	

B—*continued.*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Name of the District.	Name of Taluk.	Locality and License No. of the shop.	Consumption of Ganja in 1894-95.	Profit allowed for retail vend at 3 annas a seer.	Consumption of Majum in 1894-95.	Profit allowed for retail vend at 2 annas a seer.	Total profit for Ganja and Majum for 1894-95.	Average profit per month for ganja and Majum.	Class under which each shop falls with reference to income in column 9 in accordance with the scale laid down in Government Proceedings No. 4489-98—R. F. 176 92, dated 9th September 1894.	In what class shown in the Government Proceedings quoted in column 10.	Remarks.
			Srs. Tolas.	Rs. a. p.	Srs. Tolas.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Class.	Class.	
Tumkur.	Tumkur	Tumkur	646 0	121 2 0	.....	.....	121 2 0	10 1 6	III	III	
		Do	158 18	29 12 3	.....	.....	29 12 3	2 7 8	Closed.		
		Kyatsandra	192 22	36 2 9	.....	.....	36 2 9	3 0 3	IV	IV	
		Honnudike	202 13	37 15 8	.....	.....	37 15 8	3 2 8	IV	IV	
		Hebbur	86 18	16 4 3	.....	.....	16 4 3	1 5 8	IV		
	Kunigal	Kunigal	358 12	67 3 6	.....	.....	67 3 6	5 9 8	IV	IV	
	Gubbi	Gubbi	494 12	92 11 6	.....	.....	92 11 6	7 11 8	IV	IV	
		Nittur	53 14	10 0 9	.....	.....	10 0 9	0 13 5	IV		
		Kadaba	29 8	5 8 0	.....	.....	5 8 0	0 7 4	IV		
		Kullur	236 9	44 5 1	.....	.....	44 5 1	3 11 1	IV	IV	
		Muganayakankote	202 12	37 15 6	.....	.....	37 15 6	3 2 8	IV	IV	
	Tiptur	Tiptur	753 12	141 4 6	.....	.....	141 4 6	11 12 5	III	IV	
		Mayasandra	190 4	35 10 6	.....	.....	35 10 6	2 15 6	IV	IV	
		Turuvekere	160 6	30 0 9	.....	.....	30 0 9	2 8 1	IV	IV	Omitted in Government Proceedings No. 4489-98—R. F. 176-92, dated 9th September 1894.
		Kibbenhalli	120 1	22 8 1	.....	.....	22 8 1	1 14 0	IV	IV	
	Sira	Sira	452 4	84 12 6	.....	.....	84 12 6	7 1 1	IV	.	
	Maddagiri	Maddagiri	250 17	47 0 2	.....	.....	47 0 2	3 15 0	IV	IV	
		Koratagere	66 12	12 7 6	.....	.....	12 7 6	1 0 8	IV		
	Chiknayakanhalli ...	Chiknayakanhalli	415 6	77 13 9	.....	.....	77 13 9	6 7 10	IV	IV	
		Do	289 18	54 5 3	.....	.....	54 5 3	4 8 5	Closed.		
		Hulijar	162 12	30 7 6	.....	.....	30 7 6	2 8 1	IV		Ditto
		Kandikere	84 18	15 14 3	.....	.....	15 14 3	1 5 2	IV		Ditto

Records

SECTION 12 Excise.]
FILE NO. 70 494-95
SERIAL NO. 15
From The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

REVENUE DEPT. Cl. No. 7929 Ex 432 1896 21 APR 96 DEWAN'S OFFICE

83

23/4/96

Submitted

The Refund bill is supported by the Taluk Treasy Officers Certificate & not by the Certificate of the Distt. Treasy Officer. This is not correct.

A similar statement for the Mysore City is still awaited.

A spare copy of Pro. No. 4489-98 / R.T. 176-92 is put up.

The other files referred to in the Excise Comrs No 479 dt 25.7.95 as stated to be with the Secretary

Submitted for orders

Subd to Secy
1/5/96
2/5/96

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts.

Dated 18th April 1896.
Despatched 18th do
Received
General No. 4156
No. 418
Enclosure No. 1

In reply to Docket No. 7762 / 94-280, dated February 1896.

SUBJECT

Resubmits the Refund bill on account of License fees to be remitted in favor of the Ganja shopkeepers in the Bangalore City for 6 months ending 30th June 1895, the credit amounts being duly certified by the Bangalore Taluk Treasury Officer.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Rev 20/4/96

No.

21/4/96

Reply sent
23/4

No 4156 Enc: 1
418

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore Dated 1st April 1895
18

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To.

The Secretary to Government.
Revenue and General Departments.

Sir

In reply to your Docket No 7762
Ex 230
Dated 26th February 1896, I have the honor
to resubmit the refund bill on account
of License fees to be remitted in
favor of the Ganja Shop Keepers in
the Bangalore City for 6 months
ending 30th June 1895, the credit amounts
being duly certified by the Bangalore
Taluq Treasury officer.

I have the honor to be

Your most obedient Servant

[signature]

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

HH.

SECTION L-1
FILE No. 70 of 96 To
SERIAL No. 16

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Dy 8/13 May 1896.
No: 9876/Ex295 Sm1

—

His no: 4156. Dy 18th April 1896.
418.

April 1896, re submitting
a refund bill on account
of License fees to be re-
mitted in favor of
Gunja shop Keepers in
the Bangalore City for
the 6 months ending
30th June 1896.

—

In reply the Excise
Commissioner is requested
to re submit the re-
fund bill (duly with returned)
along with the District
Treasury Officer's certi-
ficate

By order
Asst.

8/5/96

Exhd.

SECTION L-A
FILE No. 70 of 94 From 17
SERIAL No. 17

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 8486
1895 to 1897
14 MAY 96
EXCISE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE.
BANGALORE
No.
DATED
IN MYSORE

To

The Secy to the Govt: of Mysore,
Revenue & General Dept.

Dated 8th
Despatched 13th } May 1896
Received
General No. 4576
No. 486
Enclosure No.

In reply to Government Proceedings
No 93-94 dated 11th April 1896
Camp SUBJECT

Solicits that the order of Government that the purchasers of Ganja Shops at auction sales in selected localities, should not be exempted from payment of licence fees, may be modified

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

14/5/96
Rev dept

Submitted for orders.
The Excise Commissioners letter No 45-17 & 8th May/96
487
suggesting a similar treatment of Opium Shops is also submitted in the R.T. No 120 of 893-94.

25/5/96 26/5/96

Submitted.
Please see my remarks on the other letter of the Excise Commr, regarding the economical fallacy of attempting to reduce the margin of profits by increasing the rent payable for monopolies.
17/10/96

Noted 2- 3d/7.

For reasons given by the Excise Comr, the last sentence in para 1 of the order of 11th August 96 may be omitted. 4

Yes 31-10-96
2-11-96

Draft 4 issue 4
Drafted 6/11/96 21/11/96

Dft dated 27/11/96 issued 28/11/96

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore, dated 8th/13th May 1896

(17)

C.J. No. 4516/486

From The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue and General Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to the marginal extract from Government Proceedings

> "There is, however, nothing to prevent shops in selected localities being sold where there is a likelihood of bona fide competition. Purchasers at such sales will not, however, be exempted from payment of license fees."

No. 93-94/Camp (11), dated the 11th April 1896, in re Ganja Shops (received on the 8th instant) I have the honor to state with due deference to the order of Government that the items of Excise Revenue leviable on intoxicating drugs under the provisions of the Excise Act are the following:—

Vide Councillor's remarks on page 4 of Serial No: (34) on R.P. No: 120 of 93-94 [illegible]

(1) Duty under section 11 of the Excise Act

(2) License fee for retail vend, either fixed under the rules framed in accordance with clause (b) of section 55, or determined by the result of auction sale or of inviting tenders for farming such fee under section 16, under the rules framed according to clauses (b), (c), (d) and (g) of Section 55.

2. When a shop in a selected locality, i.e the license for the right of retail vend therein, is put up to public Auction, the amount of the highest bid accepted for the shop, would represent the license fee payable for it, as in the case of a "Separate Arrack Shop" so that the

license

license fee instead of being fixed as under the "System of classification", is determined by the result of the auction sale. But if, as per underlined sentence in the marginal extract, the approved highest bidder for the shop, be required to pay the license fee under the "System of classification", in addition to the license fee determined by the result of the Auction sale, such payment, I respectfully submit, would not only be redundant, but also be inconsistent with the "Auctioning System" which is now in force in regard to the Major heads of Excise Revenue, viz Arrack and Toddy. Under such circumstances, I beg to solicit that the aforesaid order of Government quoted in the margin, may be modified by

by escempting such shops as are sold by Auction from liability to pay license fees in addition.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

P. Nuriceeeya

Excise Commissioner
PR. in Bryore.

Excise.]

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
GL. No. 8785
Sp. No. 541
1896 MAY 96
DEWAN'S OFFICE

91

SECTION I-R

FILE No. 700/94

SERIAL No. 18

From

15

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore,

~~Dept.~~

Dated 22nd March 1896.

Despatched 24th do

Received

General No. 4730

No. 532

Enclosure No.

~~In reply to~~ Government Proceedings
No. 93-94 camp, dated 11th April 1896

~~SUBJECT~~

re classification of Ganja shops.

Reports that certain corrections have to be made in the Statement appended to the above Proceedings.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Submitted

The excise clerk is trying to mislead us. The Proc: here printed at the Mysore Govt Central Press Bangalore as per indicated by the letters M.G.C.P.B. He should have called for proof & examined them as the Proc: involve figures & a considerable extent. An erratum seems necessary 21/6/96

Rev Dept
2575
Extract
to Commr
Proc: 21/5

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore, 22nd/24th May 1896.

No. 4730
532 From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To,

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that on page 2 of Statement B, showing the consumption of Ganja and Majum and the classification of Ganja shops, appended to Government Proceedings Camp/No 93-94 dated the 11th April 1896 some of the figures in columns 9 are not printed in line with those in column 8; and that in column 10 the class of shop against Nelavangala is printed as IV. instead of III. I beg to request therefore that corrections as per margin may be made. In the copies sent to the Deputy Commissioners from this Office, the necessary corrections have been made.

In col: 9 page 2 against Begur for ---- Substitute 0-15-0.
Yellahanka for 0-15-0 — do — 2-11-0.
Doddaballapur " 2-11-0 do 7-2-9.
Hoskote " 7-2-9 do 5-2-0.
Sulibele " 5-2-0 do 0-14-4
Nandagudi " 0-14-4 do 0-13-5
Devanhalli " 0-13-5 do 7-5-5
Vadigenahalli " 7-6-5 do 5-2-1
Budigere " 5-2-1 } 1-12-3 } do. 1-12-3.

In col: 10, page 3, against Nelavangala for IV substitute III.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
[signature]
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Excise,]

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 8869
Sr. No. 543
18 MAY 96
DEWAN'S OFFICE.

From the Excise Commissioner

SECTION S. R in Mysore

FILE No. 70 of 94

To

SERIAL No. 19

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts

Dated 27th May 1896.

Despatched 28th do

Received

General No. 4875

No. 537

Enclosure No. 1

In reply to No. 9876 Ex: 295, dated the 8th May 1896.

SUBJECT

Returns, duly certified, Refund Bill on account of License fees to be remitted in favor of certain Ganja shopkeepers at the Bangalore City.

Rs.
28. 5. 96

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Subd to Secty

The bill has now been fwd with the Certificate of the Dt. Treasury Officer duly endorsed thereon—

The refund proposed as regards Shops Nos 1 & 3 may be sanctioned. The shops were all treated as 1st Class at the commencement and license fees levied as such but subsequently when the actual income was ascertained it was found that Shop No 1 fell under Class IV and No 3 under Class III. Hence the necessity for the refund—

RR 18/6/96

Copy of order sanctioning the Refund, & subscribing to be 3d/ before issue of

16/6/96

Excise commissioner's office

No: 4875/537 Enc: 1 Bangalore, dated 27th/28th May 1896.

From

The Excise commissioner
in Mysore

To.

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
General and Revenue Department.

Sir,

Your no: 9876/Ex: 295, dated 8th May 1896

I have the honor to return, herewith, duly certified by the Bangalore District Treasury officer, the Refund Bill on account of license fees to be remitted in favor of certain Gauja shop-keepers at the Bangalore city.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant.

Excise commissioner
DR in Bangalore.

SECTION S R
FILE No. 70 of 96 95
SERIAL No. 20

Read:-
Correspondence ending with the Excise Commissioner's letter No. 4815/5-37 dt. 27th May 96, returning duly certified a Refund Bill for Rs 30-4-9 in favour of Venkatammah and Mirzan Sahib, Keepers of Ganja Shops at the Bangalore city.

No 11073-4 / Ex: 364 dt 18/6/96

Order thereon.

The shops above referred to, which were treated as 1st class in January 1895 of the year, and on which license fees were levied accordingly, were, after ascertainment of the actual income found to fall under class II & III, prescribed in Govt Pro: No 4487-98 / R.T 176-92 d: 9th Sep 1894. Under such circumstances the Refund Bill for the excess Collection

Collection of Rs 30/- & Rs 48/-
is sanctioned and for-
-warded to the Comptr
-roller for audit

18/6/96
for Secretary 18-6-96

To
The Excise Commissioner
u Comptroller with the bill

Subd to 3rd Cr 17/6/96

SECTION J. R

FILE No. 70 J 94-95

SERIAL No. 21

Jungle 7/7/96 97

16

To

The Comptroller, Mysore

— & Commr. of Excise

D/ 7/7/96.

Desp.

No: 215-23
Ex:10

Has the honour to request that the marginally noted corrections may be made in the printed statement accompanying the Govt Resp No: 93-94 D/ camp, Mysore, 11th April 96 sanctioning certain amendment in the classification of Ganja shops.

By Order.

7/7/96

for Secretary

In columns 9 page 2 against

Begur for ..... Substitute "-15-"

Gelahanka for "-15-" Substitute 2-11-"

Dodballapur for 2-11-" Substitute 7-2-9.

Hoskote - for 7-2-9 Substitute 5-2-"

Sulibele for 5-2-" Substitute 0-14-4

Nandapuri for "-14-4 Substitute "-13-5

Devanhalli for "-13-5 Substitute 7-5-5

Vadigenahalli for 7-5-5 Substitute 5-2-1

Budigere - for 5-2-1 / 1-12-3 } Substitute 1-12-3.

In Column 10 page 3 against

Nelavangala for IV Substitute III

24/6

24/6/96

No — 224
Ex: 11

Copy forwarded to the Special Comm
with refer to his No. 4730
532
d: 22d May/ March 1896.

By Order

For Secy

SECTION S.R

FILE No. 70 of 94.

SERIAL No. 22

2 | 46 99

(18)

Read :- Letter no 4516/486 dated 8th May 1896 from the Excise Commr reporting, with reference requesting that- Govt proc no 93-94 camp of 11th April 1896, that the levy of license fees on ganja shops under the "System of Classification" in addition to the license fee determined by the result of the auction of sale, would not only be redundant but also be inconsistent with the provisions of the Excise Act and supporting, therefore the Consequence, the Govt order modification of the said order no 93-94 camp of 11th April 1896, be modified by exempting the ganja shops which are sold by auction from liability to pay license fees in addition

if may be modified by exempting such ganja shops as are sold by auction from liability to pay license fees, inasmuch as the amount of the highest bid is itself the license fee, and any additional license fee would be both redundant and opposed to the analogy of the major heads of excise revenue.

No 4212-13 / A. 70-94.5 dt 5-11-96/10

Order thereon.

for reasons now explained by the Excise Commr Government

are ~~of opinion that the~~

~~view of the Excise Commr~~

~~is right and they are~~

~~accordingly~~ pleased to

direct that the ~~last~~ following sentence

be omitted from

of ~~in~~ para 1 of Govt order

No Camp no 93-94 of 11 th April 1894

1896 ~~be struck out~~ :-

"Purchases at such sales will not, however,

be exempted from payment of license fees."

by 5/11/96

for Secretary

3/11

JB

5/11/96

Lo

The Excise Commr

in Mysore

Comptroller

1896-97

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

EXCISE

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 5th November 1896

No. 4212-13
R. F. 70-9

Modifying Government Proceedings, Camp No. 93-94, dated 11th April 1896, so as to exempt from payment of license fees, such ganja shops as are sold by public auction.

M.G.C.P.B.—17-12-96—120

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore, Excise, dated 5th November 1896.

READ :—

Letter No. 4516—486, dated 8th May 1896, from the Excise Commissioner, requesting that Government Proceedings No. 93-94—Camp, of 11th April 1896, may be modified by exempting such ganja shops as are sold by auction from liability to pay license fees, inasmuch as the amount of the highest bid is itself the license fee. and any additional license fee would be both redundant and opposed to the analogy of the major heads of Excise revenue.

No. 4212-13—R.F. 70-94, DATED, BANGALORE, 5TH NOVEMBER 1896.

ORDER THEREON.—For reasons now explained by the Excise Commissioner, Government are pleased to direct that the following sentence be omitted from para 1 of Government Order, Camp No. 93-94 of 11th April 1896 :—

" Purchases at such sales will not, however, be exempted from payment of " license fees."

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.
The Comptroller in Mysore.

Exd.—H.A.R.

System instead from 1st July 1895.

13. To. The Excise Commr No $\frac{7762}{\text{Ex } 230}$ dt. 2.3.96.

Returns the Repeal Bill read with SL 13. and calls for its resubmission after duly certified

14. To. The Excise Commrs } Camp No 93-94.
The Comptroller } dt. 11.4.96.

Directs certain amendments in the classification of Ganja Shops.

~~15. From. The Excise Commr No 4156/9876 dt. 18.4.1896 / 8/13 5.1896.~~
~~by No 7929 / Ex 432.~~
~~Returns the Bill and requests it resubmission after being certified by the Distt Treasury Officer.~~
~~16. To. The Excise Commr~~

15. From by No 7929 / Ex 432. The Excise Commr No 4156/418 dt. 18.4.1896.
Resubmits the Repeal Bill after duly certified

16. To. The Excise Commr No 9876/Ex 295 dt. 8/13 5.96.
Returns the Bill and requests its resubmission after being certified by the Distt Treasury Officer.

17. From. by No 8486 / Ex 501. The Excise Commr. No 4516/486. dt. 8/13 May 896.
Solicits that the orders of Govt. that the purchasers of Ganja Shops at Auction Sales should not be exempted from payment of license fees may be modified.

18. From. by No 8725 / Ex 541. The Excise Commr No 4730/532 dt. 22/24 May 96.
Reports that corrections have to be made in the Statement appended to the above Proceedings (SL 14).

19. From. by No 8869 / Ex 543. The Excise Commr No 4815/537 dt. 27/28. 5.96.
Returns the Repeal Bill duly certified as Called for

20. To. The Excise Commrs } No 11073-4 / Ex 364 dt. 18.6.96.
The Comptroller }
Sanctions the above Bill.

21. To. The Comptroller in Mysore } No 215-23 / Ex. 10 dt. 7.7.96.
The Dy Commr of Bellary }
Makes certain Corrections with reference to the Excise Commrs letter No 4780/532 dt. 22/24. 5.96. (SL 18).
To. The Ex. Commr No 224/Ex 11 dt. 7-7-96
Forwards copy of the above.

22. To. The Excise Commrs } No 4212-13 / R-2-70-92 dt. 5.11.96
The Comptroller }
Modifies Govt Proceedings Camp No 93-94. dt. 11.4.96. and does not allow the purchasers of Ganja Shops pass payment of license fees.
Ex 11-4